

(2005)

*

/ /

2005

:

0.142

1.9

%33.2

1.07

2005

*

**Policy analysis matrix (PAM)for wheat production in
the north region of Iraq for the year (2005)**

Wigdan K. Jasim
Ministry Of Sciences and
Technology
Center Of Seed Technology

Abdullah Ali Mudhi
College of Agriculture
Bagdad University

Abstract

The research care for analyzing the effects of government's entry in wheat production by using Policy analysis matrix (*PAM*) approach for the year 2005 .This would be help us to determine comparative advantage coefficients for wheat in order to reach the most best region for crop wheat from the value of social profitability coefficient by shearing the planning to produce. The research had arrived to the following conclusions:

The profitability Coefficient value for wheat was (0.142) indicated that domestic producer achieve no benefit from government policy. But the value of domestic resource cost for wheat was (1.9) more than one in 2005, that means the north region of Iraq had no comparative advantage in wheat production for that year. And the value of Producer Subsidy Ratio was (33%)that mean there was a small subsidy from government to producers. The value of Private Cost Coefficient Ratio was (1.07)that mean investment in wheat production less than cost so the investors did not had profit in that year .

(PAM)

2005

2005

(PAM)

:

(2)

(3)

L

/ 605.12

(20)

(23)

(16)

2001 - 1990

(25)

(DRC)

(7)

(PAM)

(28) U.N.Escwa

(21)

(24)

:2008-2002

-2001

958.2	3251	2008
/ 419	/ 294.7	
/ 147.9	2008	2001
	(1)	
2002 / 582		
97.0		
	.(1)	2008

-2001)

:(1)

(2008

/ /	/	/	
0419.	1251662	2986429	2001
353.6	1503547	4252093	2002
1.029	1203023	4134409	2003
244.2	859934	3520981	2004
344.3	835142	2425343	2005
6292.	864210	2953749	2006
244.8	757970	3095651	2007
9147.	390587	2641572	2008
294.7	958259	3251278	

.(2) :

المجلة العراقية لبحوث السوق وحماية المستهلك مجلد (3) عدد (5) 2011.

(2):

2002					2001				
%	/				%	/			
63.4	318	954084	3002609		71.9	507	900107	1775149	
13.2	370	197270	533757		11.1	321	139163	433745	
23.4	492	352193	715727		17.0	273	212392	777535	
100	353.6	1503547	4252093		100	419.0	1251662	2986429	
2004					2003				
62.2	218	534884	2453698		51.24	214	616509	2885284	
10.3	207	883777	426923		37.04	582	445416	765124	
27.5	370	236673	640360		11.72	292	141098	484001	
100	244.2	859934	3520981		100	291.0	1203023	4134409	
2006					2005				
57.95	255.9	500807	1957228		45.28	192.7	378164	1962751	
27.85	426.1	240718	564902		13.68	439.6	342703	779604	
14.2	284.2	122685	431619		41.04	297.1	114275	384688	
100	292.6	864210	2953749		100	344.3	835142	2425343	

المجلة العراقية لبحوث السوق وحماية المستهلك مجلد (3) عدد (5) 2011.

2008					2007				
40.95	97.0	159960	1645160		42.27	172.8	320420	1854579	
16.33	242.6	166822	687508		38.14	352.0	289084	812345	
42.72	206.6	63805	308904		19.59	346.3	148466	428727	
100	147.9	390587	2641572		100	147.9	757970	3095651	

. / - :
/ -

(Technical Coefficient s) : (3)

/ 30	Seeds		
/ 75		-	
/ 33		-	
333 + 1	+		Tradable Inputs
/ 15			
1		-	
	:	-	
/ 6		-1	
/ 10		-2	
/ 5		-3	
/ 10		-4	
/ 6		-5	Non Tradable
45	:	-	Inputs
	:	-	
/ 4		-1	
/ 2		-2	
/ 294.7	-2001		
		2008	

* :

.1993

**

:()

-

.2005

2005 344.6 (4)

(1)

(-) 400000

/ 25000 ()

/ 250000 280000

2500

%8

:(4)

.2005

2005			
/			
12000	/ 400		
21000	/ 280		
8250	/ 250		
3332.5	/ 2500		<i>B</i>
6000	/ 400		
50582.5			
3000	/ 6000	-	
		:	
7500	/ 1250	-	
12500	/ 1250	-	
6250	/ 1250	-	
12500	/ 1250	-	
7500	/ 1250	-	
3200	/ 40000	-	<i>C</i>
28000	/ 7000	-	
40000	/ 20000	-	
120450			
162840	25000 + 137840	(344.6)	<i>A</i>
		/	
8192.5			<i>D</i>

()

* :

:()

()

(Farm gate Import Parity Prices)

2005 ()

:

$$FIPP = BP(cif) / SCF + HCP + TCBM + IC - TCFM - TPC.$$

:

$$= FIPP$$

$$= BP(CIF)$$

$$= SCF$$

$$= HCP$$

$$= IC$$

.()

$$= TCBM$$

$$= TCFM$$

$$= TPC$$

196.8

()

(cif)

40

236.8

-) / 1000

(

/ 236800

()

2005 0.680

:

$$SCF = \frac{OER}{EER}$$

:

$$= OER$$

$$= SCF$$

$$= EER$$

$$/ 348235.3 = \frac{236800}{0.680} =$$

:

$$/ 378235.3 = 30000 + 348235.3 =$$

-

=

$$/ 358235.3 = 20000 - 378235.3 = FIPP$$

$$) 42$$

$$219.3$$

(

$$130588 \quad 391323$$

$$) / 17.5$$

(

$$/ 25745$$

.(%10)

)

(5

.2005

: (5)

.2005

2005			
/			
10746	/ 358.2		
29347.5	/ 391.3		
4309.8	/ 130.6		
34318	/ 25745		
6000	/ 400		F
84721.3			
3000	/ 6000	-	
		:	
7500	/ 1250	-	
12500	/ 1250	-	
6250	/ 1250	-	
12500	/ 1250	-	
7500	/ 1250	-	
4000	/ 40000	-	G
		:	
28000	/ 7000	-	
40000	/ 20000	-	
121250			
148435.7	+(344.6)*(358.2) 5000	/ (344.6)	E
57535.6			H

. () *

(5 4)

. 2005

(6) ' L

.2005

:(6)

Details	Revenues/ Dinar/ Donem / /	Costs		Profit
		Tradable inputs	Domestic resources	
Private Prices	A 162840	B 50582.5	C 120450	D 8192.5 -
Social prices	E 148435.7	F 84721.3	G 121250	H 57535.6-
Transfers	I 14404.3	J 34138.8 -	K 800 -	L 49343

.(5 4)

:

(6)

/ 50582.5 (B)

(A) / 120450 (C)

(F) / 162840

/ 121250 84721.3 (G)

/ (148435.7) (E)

(A) (I)

14404.3 (E)

(L)

2005

:2005

2005

: (7)

.2005

:(7)

0.142	$= \frac{D}{H} = \frac{A-B-C}{E-F-G} \text{PC}$	Profitability Coefficient
1.9	$= \frac{G}{E-F} \text{D.R.C}$	Domestic Resource Cost Coefficient
% 33.2	$*100 \frac{L}{E} \text{P.S.R} =$ $*100 \frac{D-H}{E} =$	Producer Subsidy Ratio
1.07	$\frac{C}{A-B} = \text{P.C.R}$	Private Cost Coefficient Ratio

.(6)

:

0.142


(7)

2005

1.9

2005

%33.2



.2

.3

.1

(1995) .
) 1990 - 1970

.2

(2009) .
:79 . 2008

.187-175

.3

(2008) .

2007

.4

(2003) .

(2000 - 1970)

.5

(1999) .

.48-72 :2 4 .

.6

(1993) .

.7	.(2000)
.8	.(1997-1980)
.9	./
.10	./
.11	./
.12	./
.13	./
.14	./

15. Akbar, M.; Mahmud, T.; Ahmad, S. and Hayat, M. A. (1999). Farmers Awareness and Adoption of new Wheat Varieties in Rainfed areas of north Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of Arid-Agriculture. 2: 25-32.
16. Najafi, B. (2002). Effect of Government Policy on Wheat Production of Policy Matrix Analysis. Shiraz University, Department of Agricultural Economic, Working Paper.
17. Bela, B. (1988). Agricultural Policy and International. J. of policy modeling. 10(2): 249-263.
18. Bale, M. D. (1981). Price Distortions in Agriculture and their Effects. A. J. A. E. 63(1): 143-161.
19. Bergaman, J. and Morly, S. (1996). Import Constrains and Development Causes of Recent decline of Brazilian Economic Growth A Comment. The Review of Economics and Statistics. 1: 321-339.
20. Dennis, R. Y. and Monica, K. (2006). Assessing market distortions affecting poverty reduction effects on small holders tobacco production in Tanzania. Research on poverty alleviation, Tanzania.
21. Florko, W.; Vogeied, J.; Hill, L. D. and Zareba, M. (1988). The Impact of Agricultural Policy changes on food production in Poland. J. Comparative Economic Studies. 30(3): 16-32 .

22. Martin, E.; Pifieir, O. and Alex, F .M. (2009). Argentin Agricultural Programing for Price Policy Analysis. Working Paper.
23. Hail, M. (2005). The impact of credit repayment schedule policy on competitiveness of grain production in Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Economics. 14: 72-89.
24. Monke, E. A. and Scott, R. P. (1989). The Policy Analysis Matrix for Agriculture Development. Cornell University Press, New York.
25. Waqar, A.; Muhammad, S. and Nadeem, A. (2002). Analysis of Economic Efficiency and Competitiveness of the Rice Production Systems of Pakistans Punjab. Working paper, Pakistan.
26. Sato, S. (1999). Trend of Upland Farming System and New Agricultural Policy in Japan. Journal of Rural Economics Japan-Des. 71 (3): 131-141.
27. Shikha, J. and Srinivasan, P. V. (1998). Grain price Stabilization in India. Evaluation of Policy.
28. U.N. ESCWA.(1999). Evaluation of Agricultural Policies in the Palestinian Territories. New York .
29. Wenjun, Z.; Yanhong, Q. and Yali, L. (2004). Forecasting Trend of Rice Production of the World and Regions. Working Paper, China.