

\*  
- / / - /

2008

-  $^3 10 \times 2$  /  $^5 10 \times 5 - ^2 10 \times 1$  /  $^7 10 \times 3$   
(P>0.01)  
/  $^4 10 \times 2 - 0$  /  $10^4 \times 8 - 0$   
(P>0.01)

Sadia

/  $^3 10 \times 5 - 0$  /  $10^4 \times 2 - 0$   
(P> 0.01)  
Pridex

\*

$\times 2 - {}^1 10 \times 2$

/  ${}^6 10 \times 3 - {}^2 10 \times 3$  /  ${}^4 10$

P> )

(0.01

.(10) 18 -

(18 6)

Total Plate Count

*aureus*

*Coliform Bacteria*

*Salmonella*

*Staphylococcus*

.(14 12) *Psychrophilic Bacteria*

(4)

/ 10.3 - 82.2 86.4 - 86.3 88.7

*E. coli* (1)

(3) /  ${}^6 10 \times 7.2$

$1.11 \times 10^3 - 68 \times 10^4 /$

480

(7)

%12

(4) (16) *Pseudomonos*

28.4 -70.4

2008

18-

(10)

Nutrient

225

24

/ 230

Broth

Peptone (P.W)

$10^{-1}$

1

9

Water

$10^{-4}$

$10^{-2}$

**Total Plate count :**

1 Plate Count Agar

45

24 37

***Coli form Bacteria :***

(MacConkey agar)

1

24 37

***Staphylococcus aureus :***

***Bacteria***

Baird Parker Agar

3.0

3

4

48

37

***Salmonella Bacteria :***

24 37

10 - 1

Tetrathionate Broth (T.T.H )

10

1

Selenite Cystine Broth

24 37

S.C.B.

10<sup>-1</sup>

1

24

42

T.T.H

X.L.D Agar

24 37

Hekton Entric Agar

Slant

Salmonella

24 37

Triple Sugar Iron Agar (TSI)

( )

salmonella

H<sub>2</sub>S

( )

*Bacteria Psychrophilic* :

Nutrient Agar (11)

1.0

N.A

7- 5 5

Complete Random Design (C.R.D.)

(LSD)

Qi- square

.(19) SAS

Total Plate Count

(1 )

(P < 0.05)

/ <sup>5</sup>10 × 5

Predix

/ <sup>2</sup>10 × 1

/ <sup>7</sup>10 × 3

/ 10<sup>3</sup> × 2

Predix

(8)

$^{6}10^{-4}10$

(14)

$^{7}10^{-6}10$

(1)

(2) /  $^{7}10 \times 88.1 - ^{6}10 \times 07.1$

6 , 3 , 0

/  $^{6}10 \times 8.1 - ^{6}10 \times 5.1 - ^{6}10 \times 2.1$

(P < 0.01)

$^{3}10 \times 4$

Wafer

/  $^{6}10 \times 3$  /

/  $^{3}10 \times 1$

Sadia

/  $^{3}10 \times 9$

:(1)

QI-SQUARE	( / )					
**66.123	cA <sup>5</sup> 10 × 3	d B <sup>3</sup> 10x1		Koch		1
**09.415	bA <sup>6</sup> 10 × 3	<sup>3</sup> 10 × 4 cB		Wafeer		2
**00.214	<sup>7</sup> 10 × 3 aA	<sup>5</sup> 10 × 5 aB				3
**00.44	<sup>3</sup> 10 × 2 dA	<sup>2</sup> 10 × 9 dB				4
**00.250	<sup>6</sup> 10 × 5 bA	<sup>4</sup> 10 × 3 bB		country corner		5
**00.40	<sup>3</sup> 10 × 9 dA	<sup>3</sup> 10 × 1 dB		Sadia		6
**00.24	<sup>3</sup> 10 × 2 dA	<sup>2</sup> 10 × 1 eB		Predix		7
	*57.4012	*66.200	(LSD)			8

\*

\*\*

(P < 0.05)

\*\*\*

:  
(2 )

(P < 0.05)

0 / <sup>4</sup>10 × 2

Predix Sadia

$$/ \quad 10^4 \times 8$$

$$0$$

Sadia

(14)

Country

$$^3 10 - ^1 10$$

Wafeer Koch corner

(8)

(3)

(1)

$$/ \quad ^6 10 \times 7.2$$

$$-^3 10 \times 8.1$$

$$/ \quad ^4 10 \times 8.1$$

Sadia

(P < 0.01)

Koch

$$/ \quad ^1 10 \times 2$$

$\times 2$

$$/ \quad ^4 10 \times 3$$

$$/ \quad ^4 10 \times 8$$

$$/ \quad ^4 10$$

Predix Sadia

*Coliform Bacteria*

:(2)

Qi-square	( / )					
**00.80	bA <sup>4</sup> 10× 3	bB <sup>1</sup> 10× 2		Koch		-1
**09.90	cA <sup>3</sup> 10× 5	bB <sup>2</sup> 10×1		Wafeer		-2
**00.106	aA <sup>4</sup> 10×8	aB <sup>4</sup> 10× 2	-			-3
**00.56	eA <sup>2</sup> 10× 3	bB <sup>1</sup> 10× 2				-4
**00.56	dA <sup>3</sup> 10× 4	bB <sup>2</sup> 10× 6		country corner		-5
00.0	fA 0	bB 0		Sadia		-6
**00.20	fA 10 <sup>2</sup> × 1	bB 0		Predix		-7
	* 48.111	*90.7100	(LSD) . . .			

\*

\*\*

(P < 0.05)

\*\*\*

:

*Staphylococcus aureus*

(3 )

(P < 0.05)

wafeer

/ <sup>3</sup>10 x5

/ <sup>1</sup>10 × 2

<sup>4</sup>10 × 2

<sup>1</sup>10 × 1

/

Predix

/

*Staphylococcus*

:(3)

*aureaus*

Qi-squar	( / )					
** 00.50	cA <sup>3</sup> 10x1	cB 0		Koch		1
** 00.40	bA <sup>4</sup> 10x1	bB <sup>1</sup> 10x2		Wafeer		2
** 00.36	aA <sup>4</sup> 10x2	aB <sup>3</sup> 10x5	-			3
ns 05.1	dA <sup>1</sup> 10x1	cA 0				4
** 00.70	bA <sup>4</sup> 10x1	cB 0		country corner		5
** 00.20	dA <sup>2</sup> 10x1	cB 0		Sadia		6
ns 00.0	dA 0	cA 0		Predix		7
	* 89.135	* 230.7	(LSD)			

\*

\*\*\*\*

(P < 0.05)

\*\*\*

(P < 0.01)

, Predix

0

Country corner

/ 10<sup>4</sup>×1

/ 10<sup>1</sup> × 1 0

$^{4}10^{-3}10$

(8)

(14)

/

/  $^{4}10^{-2}10$

Contry corner wafer

(1)

. /  $^{3}10x1^{-2}10$  x 82.1

:

(14)

(5)

%75

%60

2005-2003

USDA

. %15 42

:

(4 )

(P < 0.05)

Wafeer ,Koch

Sasia

,Predix

Country corner

/  $^{4}10 \times 2$

Predix

/  $^{1}10 \times 2$

/  $^{6}10 \times 3$

/  $^{2}10 \times 3$

(9)

/  $^{2}10x1$

(3)

$$\frac{10^4}{10^6 \times 5.1 - 10^6 \times 1 - 10^6 \times 1.1} \quad (2) \quad / \quad 10^4$$

6, 3, 0

(P < 0.01)

$$\frac{10^6 \times 3}{10^3 \times 1} \quad / \quad \frac{10^4 \times 2}{10^2 \times 3}$$

Sadia

-

18

(2)

10, 9, 4

Pseudomonas

*Psychrophilic Bacteria*

:(4)

Qi-square	( / )					
**00.204	cA <sup>4</sup> 10×3	cB <sup>2</sup> 10×2		Koch		-1
**09.128	cA <sup>4</sup> 10×2	cB <sup>2</sup> 10×2		Wafeer		-2
**00.160	aA <sup>6</sup> 10×2	aB <sup>4</sup> 10×2	-			-3
**00.22	eA <sup>2</sup> 10×3	db <sup>1</sup> 10×2				-4
**00.190	bA <sup>5</sup> 10×1	bB <sup>3</sup> 10×1		country corner		-5
**00.30	dA <sup>3</sup> 10×1	cB <sup>2</sup> 10×3		Sadia		-6
**00.20	eA <sup>2</sup> 10×4	dB <sup>1</sup> 10×2		PREDIX		-7
	**1.7007	**24.294	(LSD)			

\*

\*\*

(P < 0.05)

\*\*\*

1. (2006) . 0157-H7
2. (2007)
3. (2005) . 856-843 .
- 2003-2004 .
4. (2006) .
5. (2005) . 92-85 : (4) 37 .
6. (1990) . 210-201 .
7. (2005) .
- 25-29 .
8. (2000) . 4/ (3725)

9. Ahmed, M. A. (1987). The effect of storage temperatures on the microbiological and biochemical changes in meat and their implication on shelf life (unpublished date) Dubai municipality. Abstract in symposium on meat contamination and public health Baghdad. 19 -22 December.
10. A.O.A.C. 2005. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. Microbiological Food Testing. Ch., 17. and Meat and Meat Products, Ch., 39. USA.
11. APHA. (1992). American Public Health Association. Compendium of Methods for The Microbiological Examination of Food, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Washington, DC.
12. Devatkal, S.; Mendiratta, S. K.; Kondaia, N.; Sharma, M. C. and Anjaneyulu, A. S. R. (2004). Physicochemical functional and microbiological quality of buffalo liver. Meat Sci. 68(1): 79-86.
13. FSAI. (2001). Food Safety Authority of Ireland. Guideliness for the Interpretation of Results of Microbiological Analysis of Some Ready- To- Eat Foods Sampled at Point of Sale. Dublin.
14. HPFB. (2003). Health Products and Food Branch. Standards and Guidelines for Microbiological Safety of Food. Ottawa. Canada. p:1-11.
15. Inoue, C. and Ishikawa, M. (1997). Glass transition of tuna flesk at low temperature and effects of salt and moisture. J. F. Sci. 62: 496-499.
16. JAMES, M. J. (1992). Modern Food Microbiology. Yane State University. New Yourk.
17. Lee, K. T. and Yoon, C. S. (2001). Quality changes and shelf life imported vacuum. Packaged beef chuck during storage at 0°C. Meat Sci. 59: 71-77.
18. Marth, E. H. (1998). Extended shelf life of refrigerated food microbiological quality and safety. Food Technol. 52(2): 1-8.  
(<http://www.ift.org/pdfs/sss/micro.pdf>).
19. SAS. (2004). SAS/STAT Users Guide for Personal Computers. Release 7.0 . SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA.

## Estimation of Some Kind of Bacteria in Imported Frozen Chicken Thighs in Baghdad

*Maha S. Abid Department of Home Economic- College of Education for Women	Mona T. AL-Mosawi Center of Market Research and Consumer Protection/Baghdad University.	Salim S. AL-Timim Department of Home Economic- College of Education for Women
--	--	--

### Abstract

Some bacterial tests were carried out for imported frozen chicken thighs which were available in wholesale and retail markets in Baghdad City from 1/2– 1/4 /2008, to ensure their compliance with the standard criteria and validity for human consumption. The results revealed that the total bacterial count range for all samples was  $1 \times 10^2$ –  $5 \times 10^5$  and  $2 \times 10^3$ –  $3 \times 10^7$  cfu/gm meat of wholesale and retail markets respectively. A comparison between the samples of two markets showed a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ). The total count of coli form had a range of  $0$ –  $2 \times 10^4$  cfu/gm meat of wholesale markets, while it was  $0$ –  $8 \times 10^4$  cfu/gm meat of retail markets. A comparison between the samples of two markets showed a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ), with the exception of Sadia brand, which showed no bacterial content of coliform With respect to *Staphylococcus aureus*, the total range of bacterial count for all samples was  $0$ –  $5 \times 10^3$  and  $0$ –  $2 \times 10^4$  cfu/gm meat of wholesale and retail markets, respectively. A comparison between all brand samples of the two markets showed a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ), with the exception of Iraqi Biadir and Brazilian Pridex Considering freeze tolerant bacteria, the total bacterial count for all samples was  $2 \times 10^1$ –  $2 \times 10^4$  and  $3 \times 10^2$ –  $3 \times 10^6$  cfu/gm meat of wholesale and retail markets, respectively. A comparison between the samples of two markets showed a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ). All thigh samples were *Salmonella* free.

---

\* Part of MsC. Theses for thierd auother.