

/

%81

%82

Use of Discriminant function method for forecasting students result

Malik S. Ali

Nazik Ehsan

Institute of Management/ Rasafa

Abstract

It is known that the method of discriminant analysis is one of the variable data's for both classification stage and discriminant.

The main goal of discriminant analysis is to build as bas derived from qualifications drawn based on classification observation on two groups or more for a certain sample so that it would be possible to take a decision based on single reference. The study concluded that independent variables have significant effect and the correct classification efficiency for failed students was 81% and for the successful was 82% the figures prove that the method discriminant function is suitable for forecasting.

Discriminant analysis

:Classification :

n

:Discrimination :

)

(

.(

)

/

/

/

HO :

H1 :

(2) :

(Groups)
 $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, K$ G_i (K)
 $X_{i, i=1, 2, \dots, p}$ (X, S) P

K

(P)

.

n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{between group}}{\text{within group}} \quad (1)$$

(2)

$$Z_i = \lambda_{1i} \times 1 + \lambda_{2i} \times 2 + \dots + \lambda_{pi} \times p \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r \dots$$

r

:

S

$$s = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (ni - 1)si}{\sum_{i=1}^k (ni - k)} \quad (4)$$

i

i= 1,2,3,.....k

:

$$B \quad , \quad D = \lambda B \lambda \dots\dots \quad (5)$$

$$bjk = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k ni (\bar{X}_{ij} - \bar{X}_j)^2}{k - 1} \quad (6)$$

$$bjk = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k ni (X_{ij} - j)(X_{ik} - k)}{k - 1} \quad (7)$$

(1)

$$Q = \frac{D}{W} = \frac{\lambda' B \lambda}{\lambda' S \lambda} \quad (8)$$

Q

:

$$(R - QI) = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$R = S^{-1} B \quad -;$$

$$\lambda - = 0$$

(9)

$$(9) \quad B \quad r \quad (\text{eigen value})$$

:

$$(R - QI) = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$Q \quad : \quad Q \quad \lambda \quad (9)$$

$$Z = \lambda X \quad (11)$$

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z1 \\ z2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ zn \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda & \dots & \lambda \\ 11 & 12 & & 1P \\ \lambda & \lambda & \dots & \lambda \\ 21 & 22 & & 2P \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ P1 & P2 & PP \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X1 \\ X2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ XP \end{pmatrix} :$$

$$ZJ = \lambda j1 \times 1 + \lambda j2 x2 + \dots + \lambda jp xp \dots \quad (12)$$

$$J = 1,2,3 \dots r$$

A wilks lambda criteria :

$$\Lambda = \frac{W /}{W + B /} = \frac{W /}{T /}$$

: T

: W

(1)

_____ :
/ /
55
(1) (16)
:

	X1
	X2
	X3
	X4
	X5
	X6
	X7
	X8
	X9
	X10
	X11
	X12
	X13
	X14
	X15
	X16

Discriminant Spss for Ms Windows X17
.analysis

(1) :
(1) (Xi)

wilks lambba

	X1
	X2
	X3
	X4
	X5
	X6
	X7
	X8
	X9
	X10
	X11
	X12
	X13
	X14
	X15
	X16

$$\%81 = \frac{9}{11} =$$

$$\%82 = \frac{36}{44} =$$

(3)

: (1)

Eigenvalues					Wilks' Lambda				
Function	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Cumulative	Canonical Correlation	Test of Function (s)	Wilks' Lambda	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	228.063 a	77.0	77.0	.998	1 through 16	.000	672.616	528	.000
2	31.323 a	10.6	87.6	.984	2 through 16	.000	515.030	480	.130
3	10.532 a	3.6	91.1	.956	3 through 16	.000	414.233	434	.745
4	8.061 a	2.7	93.9	.943	4 through 16	.000	343.324	390	.957
5	4.790 a	1.6	95.5	.910	5 through 16	.000	279.408	348	.997
6	3.271 a	1.1	96.6	.875	6 through 16	.000	228.480	308	1.000
7	2.490 a	.8	97.4	.845	7 through 16	.002	186.379	270	1.000
8	1.716 a	.6	98.0	.795	8 through 16	.006	150.136	234	1.000
9	1.411 a	.5	98.5	.765	9 through 16	.015	121.165	200	1.000
10	1.338 a	.5	98.9	.756	10 through 16	.037	95.642	168	1.000
11	.897 a	.3	99.2	.688	11 through 16	.086	71.018	138	1.000
12	.761 a	.3	99.5	.657	12 through 16	.164	52.444	110	1.000
13	.627 a	.2	99.7	.621	13 through 16	.289	36.037	84	1.000
14	.385 a	.1	99.8	.527	14 through 16	.470	21.920	60	1.000
15	.293 a	.1	99.9	.476	15 through 16	.650	12.475	38	1.000
16	.189 a	.1	100.0	.399	16	.841	5.023	18	.999

: (2)

Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function coefficients

	Function															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	2.452	-732	-.315	.478	.869	.191	.605	.521	.289	.657	-.142	.259	.444	-.078	.461	.617
	-.498	-1.130	-.609	-.466	.458	-.472	-.029	-.128	-.393	-.153	.588	-.083	.293	.251	.096	.092
	-3.542	.534	.312	.358	-.293	-.244	-.239	-.202	-0.25	.009	-.268	-.065	.398	.405	-.102	-.071
	-.959	.317	.867	.904	.366	-0.66	-.324	.122	-.165	-.317	-.098	.143	-.669	-.471	-.047	.132
	-.740	1.093	-.094	.130	.466	.540	-.620	.811	-.063	-.342	.348	.346	-.010	.072	.073	-.155
	-.813	-.473	-.239	.205	-1.153	.400	.067	-.483	.111	.605	.294	-.357	.490	-.088	.415	.181
	2.746	-.864	.286	-.645	-.110	-.423	.037	.249	-.274	.401	.032	.285	.096	.247	.032	.034
	-.315	.469	1.149	-.802	.147	.291	.709	-.269	.312	-.390	.027	-.034	-.283	-.408	.015	-.199
	.646	1.560	-.636	-.375	-.284	-.745	.098	.160	-.028	-.360	-.263	.354	.045	-.264	-.296	.102
	2.034	-1.613	-.013	.377	.108	.356	.468	-.288	.246	-.177	.383	.545	.097	-.066	-.516	.214
	-3.767	1.888	-.282	.142	-.341	-.228	.473	.288	-.579	.201	-.282	.032	-.340	-.037	.236	-.119
	1.038	-1.833	-.335	.213	-.542	-.924	.291	.071	.346	-.426	-.213	.350	-.073	.308	.223	.024
	.180	.456	.439	-.476	.131	1.023	-.032	.041	-.204	-.394	-.090	-.352	.075	.026	.168	.517
	1.329	1.508	.000	-.071	.284	.354	-.350	-.496	-.021	.195	-.230	.638	-.172	.018	.050	-.048
	-3.299	-.015	.241	-.563	.021	.444	.088	.120	.621	.157	.202	.032	-.401	.011	-.049	.289
	4.130	-.091	.375	.682	.753	.208	.358	.207	.404	.337	.373	-.398	.305	.248	.343	.470

.1
X1,X2,X3,X4,X5,X6,X7,X8,X9,X10,X11,X12,X13,X14,X15,X16

%81 .2

%82

.3

.1

.2

.3

.1 .(1988) .

.2 .(1968) .

3. Gupta, R. P. (1973). Adequacy of Discriminate function and Their Applied.
4. Johne, F. (1990). Modern Elementary statistics Fourth Edition RH1.
5. Spss for Windows Base system, user Guide Reference ver.10 2000.